

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,650	03/11/2004	Jianying Li	140536	6325	
Patrick W. Ras	7590 07/31/200 sche	EXAMINER			
Armstrong Tea		MOTSINGER, SEAN T			
Suite 2600 One Metropoli	tan Square	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
St. Louis, MO			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/31/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/798,650	LI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SEAN MOTSINGER	2624		

	SEAN WOTSINGER	2624							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.						
Extension of time may be oblained unter 37 CFR 1.766/J. In the date, which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(j.) and the appropriate extension fee has been been of time may be oblained unter 37 CFR 1.36(j.) and the date of the first has been on the consequence of the consequence o									
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor	 Interproposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		di ining ay alwayifi day si							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ie issues ioi						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			DTOL 004)						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (i	PTOL-324).						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).						
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:									
(Dharrach M.Mahta)									
/Bhavesh M Mehta/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624									

Continuation of 3, NOTE: Claims 2, 15, ., contain aditional subject matter or after the claim elements which as yet to be considered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Li et al does not disclose "determining a set of threshold utilizing the projections." The examiner disagrees because it is not specified which word "utilizing" modifys i.e. if the thresholds are "utilize the projections or the step of determing is "utilizing the projections". The thresholds certainly use projections and smother kernals to produce smothed projections. Furthermore even in applicants interpretation if the claims was interpreted to read "determining, utilizing the projections, a set of thresholds" the claim would still not overcome the art because in the processor of determing the thresholds based on the clinical trials the method would still be performed. For example when when the method of claim 1 is performed to study the "clinical images" for the purpose of determining as et of thresholds; this would still read on the claim element "determining, utilizing the projections, a set of thresholds," since the method would be performed in the process of selecting the thresholds when the "dinical images" are studied.